		*
TRANSM	ITTAL SLIP	DATE
TO:		7 Apr 83
NI	0/E	
<b>ROOM NO.</b> 7E48	BUILDING	
	! Has	,

	MITTAL SLIP	7 Apr 8	3
TO:		, vbi 9	<u>ა</u>
N:	IO/USSR		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		
7E62			
REMARKS:	Hqs.		
	- 1		
	6		
	FΫ·I		
		- 4	
DOM			
ROM:	Unioni D		
	Harry Rowen, C/NIC		
OOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION	
		-VILIA910M	
M NO. 241	REPLACES FORM 20 0	<del></del>	
B 55 441	REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED.		(47)

		STAT
e e	5 April 1983	
MEMORANDUM FOR:	Henry S. Rowen Chairman, National Intelligence Council	
FROM :	Deputy Director of Soviet Analysis	STAT
SUBJECT :	Impact of Regional Variations in Labor Force Growth in the USSR on Soviet National Income	gage acceptants (seems should be
REFERENCE :	Our Conversation Regarding This Subject	
primarily from the Transcaucase mobile, we have other things he In brief, the in resulting from and the Transcarelative loss or epublics where per worker is good the more rapid productivity (inational averagous USSR in 1990 wo than it would be national averagous mational averagous mobiles.	the Turkic and Iranian people of Central Asia and us, where labor is generally less skilled and less examined the impact that this would haveall ld equalon the production of national income. mpact is marginal. The relatively larger output a greater number of workers in the Central Asian ucasian republics is more than offset by the foutput incurred in the Slavic and Baltic the labor force is stagnating and where output generally higher.  Ore specifically, under ceteris paribus conditions, growth of labor during 1981-90 in republics where i.e., national income/worker) is lower than the ge means that total national income produced in the could be 3.4 billion rubles (or 0.7 percent) less the labor force in every republic grew at the ge rate (see table attached). The calculations hat national income grows at the same rate as the	STAT
labor force or or a total grow conditions of a republics, over	at an average annual rate of growth of 0.4 percent with of 4.1 percent for the decade. Under a differential rate of increase among the several rall growth would come to 3.4 percent in the period	01711
1981-90.  Attachment: as stated.		STAT
~5 0 tu 10 u 1		

Approved For Release 2008/01/15 : CIA-RDP85T00153R000100070016-7

## National Income Produced in 1990 (Billion 1970 Rubles)

	Variable Rates of	Same Rate of
	Change in Labor Force <sup>a</sup>	Change in Labor Force <sup>b</sup>
RSFSR	277.0	292.4
Ukraine	78.1	
Belorussia	19.6	19.8
Uzbek	27.8	21.5
Kazakh	28.0	24.9
Georgia	8.4	8.2
Azerb.	11.8	9.8
Lithuania	6.5	6.5
Moldavia	6.1	5.9
Latvia	5.7	6.1
Kirgizia	5.6	4.7
Tadzhik	5.7	4.4
Armenia	6.4	5.8
Turkmen	4.8	3.7
Estonia	3.8	4.0
USSR	495.3	498.7

Accounts for differing growth rates of "able-bodied" population among republics in 1981-90. For purposes of this exercise, we assume that the labor force grows at the same rate as the able-bodied population (males, age 16-59; females, age 16-54).

b Assumes "able-bodied" population and labor force in each republic grows at the same rate as the USSR as a whole.